Soy intake is associated with lower lung cancer risk: results from a meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies^{1–3}

Wan-Shui Yang, Puthiery Va, Man-Yu Wong, Huan-Ling Zhang, and Yong-Bing Xiang

ABSTRACT

Background: Although several in vitro and animal in vivo studies have suggested that soy or soy isoflavones may exert inhibitory effects on lung carcinogenesis, epidemiologic studies have reported inconclusive results on the association between soy intake and lung cancer.

Objective: The aim of this meta-analysis was to investigate whether an association exists between soy and lung cancer in epidemiologic studies.

Design: We searched PubMed, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library from their inception to February 2011 for both case-control and cohort studies that assessed soy consumption and lung cancer risk. Study-specific risk estimates were combined by using fixed-effect or random-effect models.

Results: A total of 11 epidemiologic studies that consisted of 8 case-control and 3 prospective cohort studies were included. A significantly inverse association was shown between soy intake and lung cancer with an overall RR of 0.77 (95% CI: 0.65, 0.92). Findings were slightly different when analyses were restricted to 5 high-quality studies (RR: 0.70; 95% CI: 0.45, 0.99). In a subgroup meta-analysis, a statistically significant protective effect of soy consumption was observed in women (RR: 0.79; 95% CI: 0.67, 0.93), never smokers (RR: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.51, 0.76), and Asian populations (RR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.74, 0.98).

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that the consumption of soy food is associated with lower lung cancer risk. Because of different methods used to assess soy consumption across studies, more well-designed cohort studies or intervention studies that use unified measures of soy intake are needed to fully characterize such an association. *Am J Clin Nutr* doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.020966.

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer-related deaths in developed and developing countries. Although cigarette smoking accounts for the vast majority of lung cancer, $\sim 25\%$ of cases worldwide are not attributable to tobacco use (1). Therefore, apart from tobacco control, other primary prevention efforts toward lung cancer should be explored.

Evidence from animal and in vitro studies has suggested that soy may have a protective effect against cancer initiation and cancer prognosis because of its high isoflavone content. Two major forms of soy isoflavones are genistein and daidzein, both of which are primarily present in soy (2). Evidence indicated that soy isoflavones inhibit malignant cell growth through gene modulations related to cell-cycle control, apoptosis, and cell signaling pathways. In addition, genistein has been suggested to act as a potent inhibitor of oxidative stress, angiogenesis, and metastasis (3). Furthermore, epidemiologic studies have shown that soy-isoflavone intake is inversely associated with several hormone-related cancers in human, including breast (4–6), endometrial (7, 8), and ovarian (9–11) cancers, which supports that isoflavones may exert their anticancer effects through an estrogen receptor (ER) signaling pathway (12).

In addition to these hormone-related cancers, an inhibitory effect of genistein against lung carcinogenesis was also shown in several in vitro and animal in vivo studies (13–15). However, epidemiologic studies have yielded conflicting results regarding this topic, and to our knowledge, there has not been any quantitative attempt to summarize the results on the possible soy–lung cancer risk association. Thus, we conducted a quantitative meta-analysis of currently available epidemiologic studies to verify this putative association.

METHODS

Data sources and searches

We searched EMBASE (http://www.embase.com/), MEDLINE (PubMed, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/), and the Cochrane Library (http://www.thecochranelibrary.com/) from their inception to February 2011 and systematically identified epidemiologic studies that evaluated the effect of soy consumption on the risk of

Am J Clin Nutr doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.020966. Printed in USA. © 2011 American Society for Nutrition

¹ From the State Key Laboratory of Oncogene and Related Genes (W-SY, H-LZ, and Y-BX) and the Department of Epidemiology (W-SY, H-LZ, and Y-BX), Shanghai Cancer Institute, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; the University of New England College of Osteopathic Medicine, Biddeford, ME (PV); and the Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China (M-YW).

² Supported by the State Key Project Specialized for Infectious Diseases of China (grant 2008ZX10002-015; all authors) and the Fogarty International Clinical Research Scholars and Fellows Support Center at the Vanderbilt Institute for Global Health, funded by the Fogarty International Center, NIH, through an R24 Training Grant (R24TW007988; W-SY and PV).

³ Address correspondence to Y-B Xiang, State Key Laboratory of Oncogene and Related Genes and the Department of Epidemiology, Shanghai Cancer Institute, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, No. 25, Lane 2200, Xie Tu Road, Shanghai 200032, China. E-mail: ybxiang@shsci.org.

Received June 5, 2011. Accepted for publication September 15, 2011. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.020966.

lung cancer in human populations. No language restriction was applied. The search strategy included terms for outcome (pulmonary neoplasm and lung cancer) and exposure (soy, soybeans, tofu, miso, natto, soy protein, phytoestrogen, flavonoid, isoflavones, genistein, and daidzein). We also scanned the cited references of retrieved articles to identify any additional relevant studies.

Study selection criteria

A published article was included if it 1) had a case-control or cohort design, 2) evaluated the association between soy or soy isoflavones and lung cancer risk, and 3) reported the OR or RR and its 95% CI. If publications were duplicated or articles from the same study population, the most recent publication was included. Excluded from this analysis were studies that evaluated plasma or urinary isoflavones or dietary isoflavones from other sources rather than soy in association with lung cancer.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two of the authors independently evaluated the eligibility of all retrieved studies from the databases and extracted the relevant data from each included study by using a unified data form. The items included in the data form were as follows: study name (together with the first author's name and year of publication), journal name, country and study design, study population, range for follow-up, soy foods or soy products assessed, comparison of soy food intake, study-specific adjusted ORs or RRs with 95% CIs for the highest compared with lowest amount of the soy or soy isoflavones intake, and matched or adjusted variables in the design or data analysis. The 2 lists from the authors were compared, and disagreements were resolved by consensus.

To assess the study quality, a 9-star system on the basis of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (16) was used in which a study was judged on 3 broad perspectives as follows: the selection of study groups, comparability of groups, and ascertainment of either the exposure or outcome of interest for case-control or prospective studies, respectively. With consideration that there is a correlation between caloric intake and nutrient consumption, and possibly a direct or indirect causal relation between caloric intake and lung cancer risk, the scoring system was modified by adding an item in which a study with data analysis that used an energy-adjusted residual or nutrient-density model (17) received an additional star. Hence, the full score was 10 stars, and the high-quality study was defined as a study with ≥ 7 awarded stars.

Statistical methods

To compute a summary RR with its 95% CI, we used the studyspecific most-adjusted RR or OR (highest compared with lowest amounts of soy intake) and its 95% CI in all analyses. Some studies separated risk estimates according to the different types of soy food and did not report the effect of total soy food or soy product intake. In this situation, the study-specific effect size in overall analysis was recalculated by pooling the risk estimates of such various soy types by using the inverse-variance method (18). We examined heterogeneity in results across studies by using Cochran's Q and I^2 statistics (19). The null hypothesis that the studies are homogeneous was rejected if the P value for heterogeneity was <0.10 or I^2 was >50%. When substantial heterogeneity was detected, the summary estimate on the basis of the random-effects model [by using the method of DerSimonian and Laird (20)] was presented. Otherwise, the pooled estimate that was based on the fixed-effects model [by using the inverse variance method (18)] was presented. Subgroup analyses were carried out by study quality, study design (case-control compared with prospective studies), sex (men compared with women), study population (Asians compared with non-Asians), type of soy food (fermented compared with unfermented), lung cancer histology (adenocarcinoma compared with others), and smoking status (current, ever, and never smokers). We also evaluated the effect of soy-derived isoflavones on lung carcinogenesis in the analyses. To assess the influence of individual studies on the pooled result, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by excluding each study one by one and recalculating the combined estimates on remaining studies.

We used Egger's test (linear regression method) (21) and Begg's test (rank correlation method) (22) to evaluate publication bias. P < 0.05 for Egger's or Begg's tests was considered to be representative of a significant statistical publication bias. All data analyses were performed with R 2.12.1 (meta 1.6–1) software (R Development Core Team).



FIGURE 1. References searched and selection of studies in the meta-analysis.

de la constración de la	annual argument	VIII ANNUI POOL (OS IO	and the many and the many and the many and the second of the second and a second and the many and the second and the		arafimm ma			
First author, year of publication (reference)	e) Journal	Region and design	Study population F [age (y)]	Follow-up (y)	Soy food assessed	Intake comparison	OR/RR(95% CI)	Matched or adjusted variables
Shimazu, 2010 (23) ²	Am J Clin Nutr	Japan; cohort	 M: 481 cases from 36,177 male inhabitants; W: 178 cases from 40,484 female inhabitants (45-74) 	Ξ	Soy isoflavones ⁷ Amount of soy genistein int 48 compared with 9 mg/d	Amount of soy genistein intake: 48 compared with 9 mg/d	M: 0.89 (0.67, 1.19); W: 0.83 (0.54, 1.29)	Age, study area, smoking, alcohol drinking, menopausal status in women, and total intake of vegetables, fruit, and fish
Ho, 2006 (24)	Prev Med	China; case control (population based)	 M: 1403 cases and an 3552 control subjects; W: 765 cases and 7416 control subjects (>60) 		Soy products ²	Frequency of soy product consumption: ≥4 times/wk compared with <1 time/mo	M: 0.76 (0.54, 1.05); W: 0.71 (0.46, 1.10)	Age, education, leisure exercise, job type, alcohol drinking, smoking, fruit intake, dairy products, and Chinese tea intake
Schabath, 2005 (25)	JAMA	USA; case control (hospital based)	T ³ : 1674 cases and 1735 matched healthy control subjects (mean: ≥60)		Soy isoflavones ⁴ Amount of soy isoflavone in ≥ 83.2 comp with ≤ 8.2 µg	Amount of soy isoffavone intake: ≥ 83.2 compared with $\leq 8.2 \mu g/d$	T: 0.39 (0.32, 0.48)	Age, sex, ethnicity, smoking status, cigarettes smoked per day, years of smoking, education, income, BMI, and total energy
Seow, 2009 (26)	Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev	Cancer Epidemiol Singapore cohort Biomarkers Prev	W: 298 cases from 35,298 Singapore Chinese women (45–74)	9.6	Soy isoflavones ⁵ Amount of soy isoflavone int 22 compared 4 mg · 1000	Amount of soy isoflavone intake: 22 compared with 4 mg · 1000 kcal ⁻¹ · d ⁻¹	W: 0.74 (0.53, 1.04)	Age, year of interview, dialect group, education, BMI, total vegetable intake, total fruit and juice intake, β -cryptoxanthin, total isothiocyanates, duration of smoking, cigarettes per day, and number of years since quitting smoking
Seow, 2002 (27)	Int J Cancer	Singapore; case control (hospital based)	W: 303 cases and 765 control subjects (20–89)	I	Soy Isoflavones ⁶ Amount of soy isoflavone in <171.6 com with >69.1 r	Amount of soy isoflavone intake: <171.6 compared with >69.1 mg/wk	W (smokers): 1.30 (0.64, 2.61); W (never smokers): 0.56 (0.37, 0.85)	Υğ
Takezaki, 2001 (28)	Br J Cancer	Japan; case control (hospital based)	M: 367 cases and 2964 control subjects; W: 240 cases and 1189 control subjects (40–79)		Soy products ⁷	Frequency of soy product intake: ≥ 5 compared with <1 time/wk	M: 1.27 (0.89, 1.82); W: 0.58 (0.36, 0.90)	nd y upat lisea uptio and 1
								(Continued)

 TABLE 1

 Characteristics of epidemiologic studies of soy food intake and lung cancer risk included in the meta-analysis

(Continued)

3 of 9

First author, year of publication (reference)) Journal	Region and design	Study population] [age (y)]	Follow-up (y)	Soy food assessed	Intake comparison	OR/RR(95% CI)	Matched or adjusted variables
Wakai, 1999 (29)	Lung Cancer	Japan; case control (population based)	T: 333 cases and 666 control subjects (40–89)		Soy products ⁸	Frequency of soy product intake: almost every day compared with <3-4 times/wk	M: 0.82 (0.63, 1.07); W: 1.20 (0.76, 1.91)	Age, sex, residence, education, smoking, and medical history of lung disease
Wu-Williams, 1990 (30)	Br J Cancer	China; case control (population based)	W: 965 cases and 959 control subjects (mean: >55)		Soy products ⁹	Frequency of soy product intake: >485 compared with <153 times/v	W: 1.00 (0.80, 1.30)	Age, education, personal smoking, and study area
Hu, 1997 (31)	Int J Cancer	China; case control (hospital based)	T: 227 cases and 227 control subjects (mean: 53.2)		Soy products ¹⁰	Amount of total soy product intake: >8 compared with <2 ke/v	T: 0.60 (0.40, 1.10)	Age, sex, area of residence, cigarettes smoked per day, duration of smoking, and family income
Cutler, 2008 (32)	Int J Cancer	United States; cohort	W: 849 cases from 34,708 postmenopausal women (5569)	18	Soy isoflavones ¹¹ Amount of soy isoflavone intake: >0.5 compared with <0.13 r	/ Amount of soy isoflavone intake: >0.52 compared with <0.13 mg/d	W: 0.93 (0.86, 1.00)	Age, energy, education level, race, BMI, multivitamin use, activity level, and smoking pack-vears
Matsuo, 2008 (33)	Cancer Sci	Japan; case control (hospital based)	T: 353 cases and 1765 control subjects (18–79)		Soy products ¹²	Amount of soy consumption: >54.7 compared with <32 g/d	T: 0.56 (0.34, 0.93)	Age, sex, energy intake, and smoking

Ď ົມ Results for soy isofiavones reported in terms of those for genistent because of the high correlation be (miso soup, soy milk, tofu for miso soup, tofu for other dishes, yushidofu, koyadofu, aburaage, and natto).

² Unspecified types.

³ T, total (men and women).

⁴ Tofu and unspecified soy sources.

⁵ From intakes of 8 food items (tofu, tau pok, tau kwa, yong tau foo, foojook, tofu far, and soy milk). ⁶ From intakes of 8 food items (yellow soy beans, soybean milk, sweet soybean curd in syrup, soft soybean cake, firm soybean cake, fried soybean puff, soybean sheets, and sweet soy strips).

 7 Tofu, miso soup, and soybean.

⁸ Miso soup and soybean curd.

 9 Bean curd and fermented bean paste.

 10 Soy oil and soybean product. ¹¹ From intake of 2 food items (tofu and soy milk). ¹² Tofu, miso soup, and natto.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

RESULTS

Literature search

Our systematic literature search yielded a total of 11 articles on soy food intake and lung cancer risk in the final analysis (23-33). A flow diagram that shows how we located relevant studies is presented in **Figure 1**. Of the 1332 titles identified from the 3 databases, 1319 articles were excluded after we had reviewed titles and abstracts. After reviewing the full text of the remaining 13 studies (23–29, 34–36), we included 11 studies in the final analysis, of which one study (30) was determined through checking reference lists of retrieved articles. The main reasons for excluding studies in the final review were as follows: one study was an ecological study (34), and 2 studies did not report 95% CIs or SEs of risk estimates (35, 36).

META-ANALYSIS OF SOY FOOD AND LUNG CANCER

Methodologic quality of case-control studies included in the meta-analysis

TABLE 2

Study characteristics and quality assessment

Descriptive data for the studies included in our analysis were summarized in Table 1. The study-design types were as follows: prospective cohort studies [n = 3 (23, 26, 32)], population-based case-control studies [n = 3 (24, 29, 30)], and hospital-based case-control studies [n = 5 (25, 27, 28, 31, 33)]. Studies were conducted in Japan [n = 4 (23, 28, 29, 33)], China [n = 3 (24, 33)]30, 31)], Singapore [n = 2 (26, 27)], and the United States [n = 2(25, 32)]. Six studies (23-25, 28, 29, 33) presented results by sex. Four studies presented results for women only (26, 27, 30, 32), whereas one article presented results for men and women combined (31). Two studies (28, 29) separated the risk estimates according to the different types of soy food and did not report the effect size of the total soy intake; thus, the study-specific estimates in the overall analysis were recalculated. Most individual studies were matched or adjusted for a wide range of potential confounders, including smoking, passive smoking, energy intake, BMI, physical activity, fruit and vegetables intakes, alcohol drinking, and age. All studies used food-frequency questionnaires to measure soy intakes.

Study-specific quality scores are summarized in **Tables 2** and **3**. The range of quality scores was from 6 to 10; the median score was 6. The median scores of case-control studies and cohort studies were 6 and 8, respectively. High-quality studies (ie, those studies that had \geq 7 awarded stars) included 2 case-control studies (25, 33) and 3 cohort studies (23, 26, 32).

Overall analyses

As shown in **Figure 2**, our overall analysis of 11 studies showed a 23% reduction in risk of lung cancer with high intake of soy foods (summary RR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.65, 0.92). Statistically significant heterogeneity was observed in the study results (Q = 84.90, P < 0.001, $I^2 = 82.3\%$). There was no indication of a publication bias either from the result of Egger's test (P = 0.315) or Begg's test (P = 0.368).

Subgroup and sensitivity analyses

The effects of soy food intake on lung cancer risk in subgroup meta-analyses are shown in **Table 4**. Compared with the overall analysis, the result was a little different when analyses were restricted to 5 high-quality studies (RR: 0.70; 95% CI: 0.45,

ar Control for ar Adequate definition of cases of control of important factor of cases of control control or additional Exposure ascertainment Nonresponse assessment for all subjects rate ³ assessment for all subjects rate ³ assessment for all subjects rate ³ assessment in the sector of the secto										that used an	
ar Adequate definition Representativeness of control or additional Exposure ascertainment Nonresponse of cases of cases subjects tactor ² assessment for all subjects rate ³ 5 (25) 社 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七 七						Control for				energy-adjusted	
Adequate definition Representativeness of control control ortrol ortrol control ortrol control ortrol control contro control contre	First author, year			Selection	Definition of	important factor		Same method of		residual or	Total
5 (25) 一 一 ☆☆ ☆☆ 7) 5 (25) ☆☆ ☆☆ ☆☆ 7) * · · · 7) · · · · 7) · · · · 7) · · · · 7) · · · · 11 · · · · 29) · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of publication (reference)	Adequate definition of cases		of control subjects	control subjects	or additional factor ²	Exposure assessment	ascertainment for all subjects	Nonresponse rate ³	nutrient-density model	quality scores
5 (25) 本 7) 7) 7) 7) 7) 7) 7) 7) 7) 7)	Ho, 2006 (24)	I	**	4	*	44		*			9
7) 次 1 (28) 1 (28) 29) 次 29 次 29 次 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 20 (28) 21 (28) 21 (28) 21 (28) 21 (28) 21 (28) 22 (28) 23 (28) 24 (28) 25 (28) 26 (28) 27 (28) 28 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28) 29 (28)	Schabath, 2005 (25)	4	4		4	수수		44		44	L
1 (28) ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	Seow, 2002 (27)	4	Ι		4	44		4	4	I	9
29) × × × × × × · · · · × · · · · · · · ·	Takezaki, 2001 (28)	\$P	I		섞	44	44	섯			9
* *	Wakai, 1999 (29)	44 44	44	작	44	**		\$P			9
~	Wu-Williams,	\$P	47	섯	섞	4		섯			9
	1990 (30)										
	Hu, 1997 (31)	¥	**		4	なな		**	I	I	9
Matsuo, 2008 (33) な な な な な な ー な なな な ー	Matsuo, 2008 (33)	\$P	**		4	公公	**	44	I	Ι	7

in the response rate between control subjects and cases by using the chi-square test (P > 0.05)

assigned if there was no significant difference

One star was

									Data analysis that	
							Follow-up		used an	
	Representativeness			Outcome of interest Control for	Control for		long enough	long enough Adequacy of	energy-adjusted	
First author, year	of the	Selection of the unexposed Ascertainment not present important factor Outcome for outcomes follow-up	Ascertainment	not present	important factor	Outcome	for outcomes	follow-up	residual or	Total quality
of publication (reference) exposed cohort	exposed cohort	cohort	of exposure	at start of study	or additional factor ²	assessment	to occur ³	of cohorts ⁴	at start of study or additional factor ² assessment to occur ³ of cohorts ⁴ nutrient-density model scores	scores
Shimazu, 2010 (23)	*	公	44	公	公 公	44	44	44	4	10
Seow, 2009 (26)	I	42	☆	42	44	☆	44		42	8
Cutler, 2008 (32)	44	*	4	44	なな	\$7	4		I	8

Methodologic quality of cohort studies included in the meta-analysis^I

FABLE 3

² A maximum of 2 stars could be awarded for this item. Studies that controlled for smoking or were conducted in nonsmokers received one star, whereas studies that controlled for other important

confounders such as passive smoking and intake of other nutrients received an additional star.

⁴ A cohort study with a follow-up 1

A cohort study with a follow-up time >8 y was assigned one star.

rate >75% was assigned one star.

0.99). Significant protective effects of soy intake on lung cancer were observed in women (RR: 0.79; 95% CI: 0.67, 0.93), Asian populations (RR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.74, 0.98), and never smokers (RR: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.51, 0.76), but the effects were NS in other relevant stratums (see Supplemental Figures 1, 2, and 3 under "Supplemental data" in the online issue). The summary RR from studies that evaluated the effect of soy isoflavones intake was 0.63(95% CI: 0.45, 0.90). When stratified by study design, the analysis of cohort studies vielded a RR of 0.92 (95% CI: 0.85, 0.98), whereas the analysis on case-control studies yielded a RR of 0.72 (95% CI: 0.56, 0.92). We showed that the intake of unfermented soy foods (tofu and soy milk) was significantly associated with a decreased risk of lung cancer (RR: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.58, 0.87), but there was no evidence of a preventive effect for fermented soy foods (miso and natto) (RR: 1.06; 95% CI: 0.74, 1.51).

In sensitivity analyses, we recalculated the combined results by excluding one study per iteration. The 11 study-specific RRs ranged from a low of 0.75 (95% CI: 0.62, 0.91) to a high of 0.84 (95% CI: 0.75, 0.94) via omission of the study by Wakai et al (29) and the study by Schabath et al (25), respectively, and were similar without great fluctuation (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to report an association between soy intake and lung cancer risk. Findings from the current study suggested that the consumption of soy food was associated with a 23% reduction in risk of lung cancer for humans when the highest reported intake was compared with the lowest reported intake. This combined estimate was robust across sensitivity analyses and had no observed publication bias.

The inverse association between soy and lung cancer is biologically plausible through the following 2 interactive pathways: estrogen-dependent mechanisms via the ER signaling pathway and/or estrogen-independent mechanisms via the EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor)-mediated pathway. The role of estrogen in lung carcinogenesis may arise from ERs expressed in normal lung and tumor cell lines and tissues in which the binding of estrogen promotes cell proliferation (37, 38). Because of their close similarity in structure to estrogen, soy isoflavones have a weak affinity for ERs and compete with estradiol at the receptor complex where they act as estrogen agonists or antagonists (3). In addition, 2 in vitro studies (14, 39) suggested that genistein inhibited EGFR kinase activity and enhanced the effect of EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors. A case-control study conducted in Japan showed that soy intake was inversely associated with non-small cell lung carcinoma only in the EGFRmutated population (33). Moreover, evidence from a clinical study showed that ER- β expression correlated with EGFR mutation, which suggested the functional crosstalk between these 2 pathways (40).

We showed that the magnitude of risk reduction reported in high-quality studies was stronger than that reported in the overall analysis (a 30% compared with 23% risk reduction), which indicated that the association may have been diluted by poor study methodologies. When stratified by study design, the significant protective effect of soy intake against lung cancer was weaker in cohort studies than in case-control studies. These inconsistent findings between 2 different study designs may have been



FIGURE 2. Estimates (95% CIs) of soy intake and risk of lung cancer. Squares represent study-specific estimates [size of the square reflects the study-specific statistical weight (ie, inverse of the variance)]; horizontal lines represent 95% CIs; diamonds represent summary estimates with corresponding 95% CIs. Reference 27 provided 2 results, one for smokers [effect size for smokers (1)] and one for never smokers [effect size for never smokers (2)]. M, men; W, women.

attributed to greater recall and selection biases in case-control studies because of their retrospective nature. In case-control studies, cases that developed lung cancer were more likely to change their dietary behavior as well as soy consumption for their ill health. Because information of soy food intake was collected after cancer diagnosis, the earlier long-term dietary habit may have been strongly influenced by the recent diet, and a spurious association would have been observed. Likewise, the use of hospital-based control subjects in 5 of 8 case-control studies (25, 27, 28, 31, 33) might have led to selection bias because some control subjects were suffering from conditions that would have made them more inclined to changes in dietary patterns. However, this selection bias was minimized in the remaining 3 population-based case-control studies (24, 29, 30).

The significant inverse associations between soy and lung cancer appeared to be confined to women, never smokers, and

TABLE 4

Summary risk estimates of	of the association	between soy food	d consumption and	l lung cancer risk

]	Heterogeneity te	est	
	No. of studies	RR (95% CI)	Q	Р	I^{2} (%)	References
All studies	11	0.77 (0.65, 0.92)	84.90	< 0.001	82.3	23–33
High-quality studies	5	0.70 (0.45, 0.99)	65.08	< 0.001	92.3	23, 25, 26, 32, 33
Soy-derived isoflavones	5	0.63 (0.45, 0.90)	26.52	< 0.001	81.8	23, 25–27, 32
Study design						
Cohort	3	0.92 (0.85, 0.98)	1.93	0.587	0	23, 26, 32
Case control	8	0.72 (0.56, 0.92)	54.82	< 0.001	79.9	24, 25, 27–31, 33
Population based	3	0.87 (0.77, 1.02)	4.76	0.313	15.9	24, 29, 30
Hospital based	5	0.67 (0.45, 0.98)	37.97	< 0.001	84.2	25, 27, 28, 31, 33
Sex						
М	6	0.67 (0.42, 1.07)	56.70	< 0.001	91.2	23-25, 28, 29, 33
F	10	0.79 (0.67, 0.93)	25.80	0.004	61.3	23–26, 27, ¹ 28–30, 32, 33
Histologic type of lung cancer						
Adenocarcinoma	3	0.70 (0.52, 0.93)	2.12	0.346	5.7	26, 28, 29
Others	3	0.65 (0.45, 0.93)	2.54	0.280	21.4	26, 28, 29
Soy type						
Fermented soy food	5	1.06 (0.74, 1.51)	13.05	0.022	61.7	$26, 28, 29, 31, 33^2$
Unfermented soy food	4	0.83 (0.58, 0.87)	2.57	0.767	0	26, 28, 29, 33
Smoking status						
Current smokers	3	0.80 (0.39, 1.61)	15.00	< 0.001	86.6	23, 25, 27
Ever smokers	6	0.77 (0.55, 1.07)	22.50	0.001	72.8	23, 25, 26, 29, ³ 32, 33
Never smokers	6	0.62 (0.51, 0.76)	4.04	0.775	0	23, ³ 25–27, 29, ³ 32
Study population						
Asians	9	0.86 (0.74, 0.98)	13.60	0.137	33.8	23, ³ 24, 26–31, 33
Non-Asians	2	0.61 (0.26, 1.42)	62.01	< 0.001	98.4	25, 32

¹ Study provided 2 results (one for smokers and one for never smokers).

² Study provided 2 results (one for miso soup and one for natto).

³ Study provided 2 results (one for men and one for women).

East Asian populations in the subgroup analyses. Because neversmoking status, East Asian ethnicity, and female sex are associated with EGFR gene mutation (41–43) and a high correlation between ER expression and EGFR gene mutation (40, 44) in lung cancer, it is likely that there is functional crosstalk between the EGFR-mediated and ERs signaling pathways in lung carcinogenesis, which could explain these current discrepancies. However, because of the limited number of studies included, the discrepancies would have been accidental and the play of chance could not be ruled out.

Our analysis on soy-derived isoflavones showed that soy isoflavones were associated with an $\sim 27\%$ of risk reduction in lung cancer. This finding was supported by results from study of plasma isoflavones (45). Nonetheless, results from our separate analysis according to study populations showed a significant protective effect of soy isoflavones in Asians but not in Westerners (data not shown). Such a difference could be attributed to the much-lower average intake of soy isoflavones in Westerners than that in Asians. By comparison, Culter et al (32) reported an interquintile intake range of 0.07-1.83 mg soy isoflavones/ d of in 34,708 postmenopausal women in Iowa, and Schabath et al (25) reported a median intake of <0.6 mg soy isoflavones/d in elderly adults in Huston. A study in Japan (23) estimated isoflavone intakes to be 9-48 mg genistein/d and \sim 6-30 mg daidzein/d in 36,177 men and 40,484 women, and a study in Singapore (26) reported an interguartile intake range of 4–22 mg isoflavones/d (after adjustment for total energy) in 35,298 women. Apparently, there is a great difference in soy isoflavones intakes between these 2 populations. Another explanation for the difference is that the protective impact of soy isoflavones observed in Asians may have resulted from a lifelong or early exposure to soy.

Our findings suggested that the intake of unfermented soy foods (tofu and soy milk) was inversely associated with lung cancer risk, whereas such a protective effect disappeared with intakes of fermented soy foods (miso and natto), which suggested that the protection could be associated with different types of soy food. To our knowledge, no published data has compared the effects of these 2 types of soy foods on carcinogenesis in animal experiments. Although dietary supplementation with miso showed an inhibitory effect on breast (46), stomach (47), and colon (48) tumorgenesis, there is currently no published study available in a lung model to our knowledge. Because tofu, miso, soy milk, and natto are the most commonly consumed soy foods worldwide, our analysis underscores the need for future studies to clarify the difference between fermented and unfermented soy foods in the etiology and prevention of lung cancer.

There were several limitations in our meta-analysis. First, because of the inability to fully adjust for various confounders, the protective effect of soy intake on lung cancer could be attributed to other healthy habits related to soy consumption, such as more exercise, high fruit and vegetables consumption, and reduced alcohol use. However, most included studies have adjusted for a wide range of potential confounders. For example, all studies adjusted for smoking, 5 studies adjusted for fruit and vegetables intakes (23, 24, 26–28), 2 studies adjusted for alcohol drinking (23, 24), and 3 studies adjusted for physical activity or total energy intake (25, 32, 33). Second, because of the use of food-frequency questionnaires in each component studies, our findings were likely to be influenced by the misclassification of

soy consumption. In cohort studies, this misclassification could be nondifferential and would bias results toward the null, whereas the influence of a misclassification on the results in case-control studies is difficult to predict. Third, because of different methods used to assess and report soy intake across studies, we failed to evaluate a dose-response relation between soy food intake and lung cancer. In our analysis, all studies, except 2 studies (28, 30), provided dose-response data, of which 6 studies (24, 25, 27, 29, 32, 33) observed a significant trend (ie, an increasing benefit with increasing amounts of soy can be from increases in the frequency and amount of soy consumption), but this significant trend was not shown in 3 other studies (23, 26, 31). Finally, substantial heterogeneity was shown across the component studies. This heterogeneity was not surprising because of variations in methods of soy assessment, study design, study population, amounts of soy consumption compared, and adjustments across studies.

In conclusion, our analysis indicates that soy intake is associated with lower lung cancer risk. Because of the limited number of studies, the findings from our study need to be confirmed in future research in well-designed cohort or intervention studies. In addition, the underlying mechanisms and active compounds in soy that may be responsible for the relation remain to be further elucidated.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—W-SY: study design, literature search, systematic review and data collection, statistical analysis, interpretation of results, and preparation of the manuscript; H-LZ: literature search, systematic review and data collection, and statistical analysis; Y-BX: principal investigator, study design, statistical analysis, and interpretation of results; and all authors: contribution to critical review of the manuscript. None of the authors had a conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin 2005;55:74–108.
- 2. Kurzer MS, Xu X. Dietary phytoestrogens. Annu Rev Nutr 1997;17: 353–81.
- Sarkar FH, Li Y. The role of isoflavones in cancer chemoprevention. Front Biosci 2004;9:2714–24.
- Horn-Ross PL, Hoggatt KJ, West DW, Krone MR, Stewart SL, Anton H, Bernstei CL, Deapen D, Peel D, Pinder R, et al. Recent diet and breast cancer risk: the California Teachers Study (USA). Cancer Causes Control 2002;13:407–15.
- Wu AH, Koh WP, Wang R, Lee HP, Yu MC. Soy intake and breast cancer risk in Singapore Chinese Health Study. Br J Cancer 2008;99: 196–200.
- Goodman MT, Shvetsov YB, Wilkens LR, Franke AA, Le Marchand L, Kakazu KK, Nomura AM, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN. Urinary phytoestrogen excretion and postmenopausal breast cancer risk: the multiethnic cohort study. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2009;2: 887–94.
- Goodman MT, Wilkens LR, Hankin JH, Lyu LC, Wu AH, Kolonel LN. Association of soy and fiber consumption with the risk of endometrial cancer. Am J Epidemiol 1997;146:294–306.
- Horn-Ross PL, John EM, Canchola AJ, Stewart SL, Lee MM. Phytoestrogen intake and endometrial cancer risk. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003; 95:1158–64.
- Zhang M, Xie X, Lee AH, Binns CW. Soy and isoflavone intake are associated with reduced risk of ovarian cancer in Southeast China. Nutr Cancer 2004;49:125–30.
- Rossi M, Negri E, Lagiou P, Talamini R, Dal Maso L, Montella M, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C. Flavonoids and ovarian cancer risk: a case-control study in Italy. Int J Cancer 2008;123:895–8.
- Chang ET, Lee VS, Canchola AJ, Clarke CA, Purdie DM, Reynolds P, Anton-Culver H, Bernstein L, Deapen D, Peel D, et al. Diet and risk of ovarian cancer in the California Teachers Study Cohort. Am J Epidemiol 2007;165:802–13.

- Bingham SA, Atkinson C, Liggins J, Bluck L, Coward A. Phyto-oestrogens: where are we now? Br J Nutr 1998;79:393–406.
- Gallo D, Zannoni GF, De Stefano I, Mosca M, Ferlini C, Mantuano E, Scambia G. Soy phytochemicals decrease nonsmall cell lung cancer growth in female athymic mice. J Nutr 2008;138:1360–4.
- Gadgeel SM, Ali S, Philip PA, Wozniak A, Sarkar FH. Genistein enhances the effect of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors and inhibits nuclear factor kappa B in nonsmall cell lung cancer cell lines. Cancer 2009;115:2165–76.
- Lian F, Bhuiyan M, Li YW, Wall N, Kraut M, Sarkar FH. Genistein-induced G2-M arrest, p21WAF1 upregulation, and apoptosis in a non-small-cell lung cancer cell line. Nutr Cancer 1998; 31:184–91.
- Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp (cited 19 March 2011).
- Willett WC, Howe GR, Kushi LH. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;65(4 suppl):1220S–8S; discussion 1229S–31S.
- Woolf B. On estimating the relation between blood group and disease. Ann Hum Genet 1955;19:251–3.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557–60.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986;7:177–88.
- Egger M, Davey SG, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994;50:1088–101.
- Shimazu T, Inoue M, Sasazuki S, Iwasaki M, Sawada N, Yamaji T, Tsugane S. Isoflavone intake and risk of lung cancer: a prospective cohort study in Japan. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;91:722–8.
- Ho SY, Schooling M, Hui LL, McGhee SM, Mak KH, Lam TH. Soy consumption and mortality in Hong Kong: proxy-reported case-control study of all older adult deaths in 1998. Prev Med 2006;43:20–6.
- Schabath MB, Hernandez LM, Wu X, Pillow PC, Spitz MR. Dietary phytoestrogens and lung cancer risk. JAMA 2005;294:1493–504.
- Seow A, Koh WP, Wang R, Lee HP, Yu MC. Reproductive variables, soy intake, and lung cancer risk among nonsmoking women in the Singapore Chinese Health Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18:821–7.
- 27. Seow A, Poh WT, Teh M, Eng P, Wang YT, Tan WC, Chia KS, Yu MC, Lee HP. Diet, reproductive factors and lung cancer risk among Chinese women in Singapore: evidence for a protective effect of soy in nonsmokers. Int J Cancer 2002;97:365–71.
- Takezaki T, Hirose K, Inoue M, Hamajima N, Yatabe Y, Mitsudomi T, Sugiura T, Kuroishi T, Tajima K. Dietary factors and lung cancer risk in Japanese: with special reference to fish consumption and adenocarcinomas. Br J Cancer 2001;84:1199–206.
- 29. Wakai K, Ohno Y, Genka K, Ohmine K, Kawamura T, Tamakoshi A, Lin Y, Nakayama T, Aoki K, Fukuma S. Risk modification in lung cancer by a dietary intake of preserved foods and soyfoods: findings from a case-control study in Okinawa, Japan. Lung Cancer 1999;25: 147–59.
- Wu-Williams AH, Dai XD, Blot W, Xu ZY, Sun XW, Xiao HP, Stone BJ, Yu SF, Feng YP, Ershow AG, et al. Lung cancer among women in north-east China. Br J Cancer 1990;62:982–7.
- Hu J, Johnson KC, Mao Y, Xu T, Lin Q, Wang C, Zhao F, Wang G, Chen Y, Yang Y. A case-control study of diet and lung cancer in northeast China. Int J Cancer 1997;71:924–31.

- 32. Cutler GJ, Nettleton JA, Ross JA, Harnack LJ, Jacobs DJ, Scrafford CG, Barraj LM, Mink PJ, Robien K. Dietary flavonoid intake and risk of cancer in postmenopausal women: the Iowa Women's Health Study. Int J Cancer 2008;123:664–71.
- 33. Matsuo K, Hiraki A, Ito H, Kosaka T, Suzuki T, Hirose K, Wakai K, Yatabe Y, Mitsudomi T, Tajima K. Soy consumption reduces the risk of non-small-cell lung cancers with epidermal growth factor receptor mutations among Japanese. Cancer Sci 2008;99:1202–8.
- Nagata C. Ecological study of the association between soy product intake and mortality from cancer and heart disease in Japan. Int J Epidemiol 2000;29:832–6.
- Koo LC. Dietary habits and lung cancer risk among Chinese females in Hong Kong who never smoked. Nutr Cancer 1988;11:155–72.
- Swanson CA, Mao BL, Li JY, Lubin JH, Yao SX, Wang JZ, Cai SK, Hou Y, Luo QS, Blot WJ. Dietary determinants of lung-cancer risk: results from a case-control study in Yunnan Province, China. Int J Cancer 1992;50:876–80.
- Siegfried JM. Women and lung cancer: does oestrogen play a role? Lancet Oncol 2001;2:506–13.
- Bogush TA, Dudko EA, Beme AA, Bogush EA, Kim AI, Polotsky BE, Tjuljandin SA, Davydov MI. Estrogen receptors, antiestrogens, and non-small cell lung cancer. Biochemistry (Mosc) 2010;75:1421–7.
- Akiyama T, Ishida J, Nakagawa S, Ogawara H, Watanabe S, Itoh N, Shibuya M, Fukami Y. Genistein, a specific inhibitor of tyrosinespecific protein kinases. J Biol Chem 1987;262:5592–5.
- 40. Nose N, Sugio K, Oyama T, Nozoe T, Uramoto H, Iwata T, Onitsuka T, Yasumoto K. Association between estrogen receptor-β expression and epidermal growth factor receptor mutation in the postoperative prognosis of adenocarcinoma of the lung. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:411–7.
- Shigematsu H, Gazdar AF. Somatic mutations of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathway in lung cancers. Int J Cancer 2006; 118:257–62.
- 42. Shigematsu H, Lin L, Takahashi T, Nomura M, Suzuki M, Wistuba II, Fong KM, Lee H, Toyooka S, Shimizu N, et al. Clinical and biological features associated with epidermal growth factor receptor gene mutations in lung cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005;97:339–46.
- Tsao AS, Tang XM, Sabloff B, Xiao L, Shigematsu H, Roth J, Spitz M, Hong WK, Gazdar A, Wistuba I. Clinicopathologic characteristics of the EGFR gene mutation in non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2006;1:231–9.
- 44. Stabile LP, Lyker JS, Gubish CT, Zhang W, Grandis JR, Siegfried JM. Combined targeting of the estrogen receptor and the epidermal growth factor receptor in non-small cell lung cancer shows enhanced antiproliferative effects. Cancer Res 2005;65:1459–70.
- 45. Shimazu T, Inoue M, Sasazuki S, Iwasaki M, Sawada N, Yamaji T, Tsugane S. Plasma isoflavones and the risk of lung cancer in women: a nested case-control study in Japan. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2011;20:419–27.
- 46. Gotoh T, Yamada K, Yin H, Ito A, Kataoka T, Dohi K. Chemoprevention of N-nitroso-N-methylurea-induced rat mammary carcinogenesis by soy foods or biochanin A. Jpn J Cancer Res 1998;89: 137–42.
- 47. Ohara M, Lu H, Shiraki K, Ishimura Y, Uesaka T, Katoh O, Watanabe H. Inhibition by long-term fermented miso of induction of gastric tumors by N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine in CD (SD) rats. Oncol Rep 2002;9:613–6.
- Ohuchi Y, Myojin Y, Shimamoto F, Kashimoto N, Kamiya K, Watanabe H. Decrease in size of azoxymethane induced colon carcinoma in F344 rats by 180-day fermented miso. Oncol Rep 2005;14: 1559–64.